

ESTRATEGIAS PARA LA ELIMINACIÓN DE BIOPELÍCULAS DE *SALMONELLA* spp. EN SUPERFICIES PLÁSTICAS

Nombres y apellidos

Erika Patricia Caraballo Ortega
Código estudiantil: 201922218834

Laura Daniela Díaz López
Código estudiantil: 201922219165

Sebastián Andrés Ojeda Navarro
Código estudiantil: 20191113004

Shely Dareicy Ramírez Polo
Código estudiantil: 201922216735

Trabajo de Investigación del Programa de Microbiología

Tutor(es):

Liliana Pérez Lavallo
Zamira Soto Valera

RESUMEN

Antecedentes: *Salmonella* spp., es una de las principales bacterias involucradas en enfermedades transmitidas por alimentos (ETA) a nivel mundial. En la Unión Europea (UE) se notificaron 33 brotes de origen alimentario siendo 14 producidos por *Salmonella* spp.; en el 2018, se reportaron 8 casos causados por *S. Enteritidis*; en el 2019, el número de casos confirmados fue de 45 y en el 2020 fue de 131 casos en 8 países (EFSA, 2021). Según el último reporte de National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS), en Estados Unidos entre los años 2017-2020 el número de enfermedades causadas por *Salmonella* spp. fue de 20.384, el número de hospitalizaciones fue de 3802 y las muertes causadas fueron 31. En Colombia en el 2022, se reportaron 126 brotes de enfermedades transmitidas por alimentos (ETA) al sistema de vigilancia en salud pública (SIVIGILA), con 1253 casos involucrados, de los cuales las personas de 5 a 19 años representaron el 59,1%, mayores de 20 años un 30,6%, menores de 5 años 10,3%. Se reconoció que los agentes etiológicos fueron más frecuentes fueron *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spp., coliformes totales y otros.

Salmonella spp. tiene la capacidad de formar biopelículas, estas son agrupaciones de células que se mantienen unidas por una matriz polimérica autoproducida, la cual se adhiere a superficies tanto inertes o vivas (Donlan & Costerton, 2002). Varios estudios han demostrado que *Salmonella* spp. puede adherirse a las superficies y formar biopelículas en la industria alimentaria, incluidos los plásticos (Joseph et al., 2001). Las biopelículas brindan protección frente a agentes desinfectantes y diferentes tipos de estrés encontrados en ambientes de procesamiento de alimentos (Soni et al., 2013).

Objetivo: analizar las estrategias que se han investigado en los últimos años para el control de biopelículas de *Salmonella* spp. preestablecidas en superficies plásticas.

Materiales y métodos: se realizó una búsqueda bibliográfica que incluyó estudios publicados entre 2013 y 2023 en la base de datos científica Web of Science. Las palabras de búsqueda utilizadas fueron: (*Salmonella* biofilm) AND (plastic surface OR Acrylic OR Polymethyl Methacrylate OR Polyethylene Terephthalate OR Polyvinyl Chloride OR Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene OR Polystyrene OR polyethylene OR polypropylene) AND (Control OR disinfection OR eradication OR elimination). Durante la búsqueda se encontraron 88 artículos y se seleccionaron un total de 22 artículos de los cuales se descartaron los que eran reviews y los que durante la investigación no analizaran superficies de plástico o abarcaron biopelículas preformadas. Para la extracción de la información se seleccionó los datos más importantes de los artículos seleccionados como: la estrategia aplicada, el serotipo, el tipo de plástico, las condiciones de formación de la biopelícula, las condiciones de tratamiento y la eficacia del tratamiento. Esta información fue organizada en un cuadro para su análisis. Adicional a esto, se tuvo en cuenta información relevante relacionada con las estrategias en cada uno de los artículos seleccionados, la cual fue complementada con otros artículos para la descripción de cada estrategia.

Resultados: Diferentes estrategias mostraron ser efectivas contra las biopelículas. Dentro de los métodos químicos resaltan los aceites esenciales, ácidos orgánicos y el ácido peracético. En este último, se reportó una reducción de 7,61 log₁₀ UFC/cm² a 3500 ppm, a

25°C en 10 min (Iñiguez-Moreno et al., 2018). En el caso de los aceites esenciales, el carvacrol y el timol demostraron una alta eficacia.

En cuanto a combinación de estrategias físicas y químicas, la luz UV junto con ácido peroxiacético tuvo una reducción de 4,69 log CFU/cm² en 5 min con ácido láctico tuvo una reducción de 6,00 log CFU /cm² en 10 min (Byun et al., 2022).

En el caso de las estrategias biológicas el fago PVP-SE2 tuvo una reducción de 1,5 log y 3,4 log para biopelículas de 24 h y 2,1 y 5,1 log UFC en biopelículas de 48 h a una multiplicidad de infecciones de 0.1 a 22°C. Se encontró que los bacteriófagos presentan bajos o nulos efectos en las características organolépticas de los productos (Meireles et al., 2016), también se encontraron algunas limitaciones en relación con limpiezas rápidas, ya que necesita un rango de tiempo amplio para poder tener una reducción sustancial de las biopelículas (Sillankorva et al., 2010).

Conclusión: Diferentes estrategias químicas y físicas mostraron gran efectividad en la eliminación de biopelículas. No obstante, algunos de estos métodos tienen repercusiones secundarias en los seres humanos y el medio ambiente; es por esto por lo que más estudios deben enfocarse en la aplicación de estrategias biológicas las cuales resultan ser una alternativa prometedora a futuro, al ser inocuas y amables con el medio ambiente.

Palabras clave: superficies plásticas, *Salmonella* spp., biopelícula, erradicación.

ABSTRACT

Background: *Salmonella* spp. is one of the main bacteria involved in foodborne diseases (ETA) worldwide. In the European Union (EU) 33 foodborne outbreaks were reported, 14 of which were caused by *Salmonella* spp.; in 2018, 8 cases caused by *S. Enteritidis* were reported; in 2019, the number of confirmed cases was 45 and in 2020 it was 131 cases in 8 countries (EFSA, 2021). According to the latest report from the National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS), in the United States between the years 2017-2020 the number of diseases caused by *Salmonella* spp. was 20,384, the number of hospitalizations was 3,802 and the deaths caused were 31. In Colombia in 2022, 126 outbreaks of foodborne diseases (ETA) were reported to the public health surveillance system (SIVIGILA), with 1,253 cases involved, of which people between the ages of 5 and 19 represented 59,1%, over 20 years of age 30,6%, and children under 5 years of age 10,3%. It was recognized that the most frequent etiological agents were *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spp., total coliforms and others.

Salmonella spp. it has the ability to form biofilms, these are groups of cells that are held together by a self-produced polymeric matrix, which adheres to both inert and living surfaces (Donlan & Costerton, 2002). Several studies have shown that *Salmonella* spp. it can adhere to surfaces and form biofilms in the food industry, including plastics (Joseph

et al., 2001). Biofilms provide protection against sanitizing agents and different types of stress found in food processing environments (Soni et al., 2013).

Objective: to analyze the strategies that have been investigated in recent years for the control of biofilms of *Salmonella* spp. presensitized on plastic surfaces.

Materials and methods: a bibliographic search was carried out that included studies published between 2013 and 2023 in the Web of Science scientific database. The search words used were: (*Salmonella* biofilm) AND (plastic surface OR Acrylic OR Polymethyl Methacrylate OR Polyethylene Terephthalate OR Polyvinyl Chloride OR Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene OR Polystyrene OR polyethylene OR polypropylene) AND (Control OR disinfection OR eradication OR elimination). During the search, 88 articles were found and a total of 22 articles were selected, of which those that were reviews and those that during the investigation did not analyze plastic surfaces or covered preformed biofilms were discarded. For the extraction of the information, the most important data of the selected articles was selected, such as: the applied strategy, the serotype, the type of plastic, the biofilm formation conditions, the treatment conditions and the efficacy of the treatment. This information was organized in a table for analysis. In addition to this, relevant information related to the strategies in each of the selected articles was taken into account, which was complemented with other articles for the description of each strategy.

Results: Different strategies were shown to be effective against biofilms. Among the chemical methods, essential oils, organic acids and peracetic acid stand out. In the latter, a reduction of 7,61 log₁₀ CFU/cm² was reported at 3500 ppm, at 25°C in 10 min (Iñiguez-Moreno et al., 2018). In the case of essential oils, carvacrol and thymol demonstrated high efficacy.

Regarding the combination of physical and chemical strategies, UV light together with peroxyacetic acid had a reduction of 4,69 log CFU/cm² in 5 min with lactic acid had a reduction of 6,00 log CFU/cm² in 10 min (Byun et al., 2022).

In the case of the biological strategies, the PVP-SE2 phage had a reduction of 1,5 log and 3,4 log for 24-h biofilms and 2,1 and 5,1 log CFU in 48-h biofilms at a multiplicity of infections of 0.1 at 22°C. It was found that bacteriophages have low or no effects on the organoleptic characteristics of the products (Meireles et al., 2016), some limitations were also found in relation to quick cleaning, since it requires a wide range of time to be able to have a reduction of biofilms (Sillankorva et al., 2010).

Conclusion: Different chemical and physical strategies showed great effectiveness in the removal of biofilms. However, some of these methods have secondary impacts on humans and the environment; This is why more studies should focus on the application of biological strategies, which turn out to be a promising alternative for the future, since they are harmless and kind to the environment.

Keyword's: plastic surfaces, *Salmonella* spp., biofilm, eradication.

REFERENCIAS

1. Acar, B. O., Cengiz, G., Gulendag, E., Goncuoglu, M., & Diker, K. S. (2022). Efficacy of peroxyacetic acid against *Salmonella* biofilms and as a decontaminant agent in poultry meat. *Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society*, 73(2), 4007-4014. <https://doi.org/10.12681/jhvms.26066>
2. Amaral, V. C. S., Santos, P. R., da Silva, A. F., dos Santos, A. R., Machinski, M., & Mikcha, J. M. G. (2015). Effect of carvacrol and thymol on *Salmonella* spp. biofilms on polypropylene. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 50(12), 2639-2643. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12934>
3. Artés, F., Gómez, P., Aguayo, E., Escalona, V., & Artés-Hernández, F. (2009). Sustainable sanitation techniques for keeping quality and safety of fresh-cut plant commodities. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 51(3), 287-296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POSTHARVBIO.2008.10.003>
4. Bao, H., Zhang, P., Zhang, H., Zhou, Y., Zhang, L., & Wang, R. (2015). Bio-Control of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in Foods Using Bacteriophages. *Viruses* 2015, Vol. 7, Pages 4836-4853, 7(8), 4836-4853. <https://doi.org/10.3390/V7082847>
5. Branda, S. S., Vik, Å., Friedman, L., & Kolter, R. (2005). Biofilms: The matrix revisited. *Trends in Microbiology*, 13(1), 20-26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2004.11.006>
6. Byun, K. H., Han, S. H., Yoon, J. won, Park, S. H., & Ha, S. Do. (2021). Efficacy of chlorine-based disinfectants (sodium hypochlorite and chlorine dioxide) on *Salmonella* Enteritidis planktonic cells, biofilms on food contact surfaces and chicken skin. *Food Control*, 123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107838>
7. Byun, K. H., Na, K. W., Ashrafudoulla, M., Choi, M. W., Han, S. H., Kang, I., Park, S. H., & Ha, S. Do. (2022). Combination treatment of peroxyacetic acid or lactic acid with UV-C to control *Salmonella* Enteritidis biofilms on food contact surface and chicken skin. *Food Microbiology*, 102, 103906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FM.2021.103906>
8. Carvalho, D., Menezes, R., Chitolina, G. Z., Kunert-Filho, H. C., Wilsmann, D. E., Borges, K. A., Furian, T. Q., Salle, C. T. P., Moraes, H. L. de S., & do Nascimento, V. P. (2022). Antibiofilm activity of the biosurfactant and organic acids against foodborne pathogens at different temperatures, times of contact, and concentrations. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 53(2), 1051-1064. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S42770-022-00714-4/METRICS>
9. CDC. (n.d.). *La Salmonella y los alimentos* | CDC. Retrieved September 26, 2022, from <https://www.cdc.gov/foodsafety/es/communication/salmonella-and-food-sp.html>
10. Culot, A., Grosset, N., & Gautier, M. (2019). *Overcoming the challenges of phage therapy for industrial aquaculture: a review*. *Aquaculture*, 513(15), 734423.
11. De Ornellas Dutka Garcia, K. C., De Oliveira Corrêa, I. M., Pereira, L. Q., Silva, T. M., De Souza Ribeiro Mioni, M., De Moraes Izidoro, A. C., Bastos, I. H. V., Gonçalves, G. A. M., Okamoto, A. S., & Filho, R. L. A. (2017). Bacteriophage use to control *Salmonella* biofilm on surfaces present in chicken slaughterhouses. *Poultry Science*, 96(9), 3392-3398. <https://doi.org/10.3382/PS/PEX124>
12. Deng, L. Z., Mujumdar, A. S., Pan, Z., Vidyarthi, S. K., Xu, J., Zielinska, M., & Xiao, H. W. (2019). Emerging chemical and physical disinfection technologies of fruits and vegetables:

- a comprehensive review. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1649633>, 60(15), 2481-2508. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1649633>
13. Díaz, P. A., Bogota, U., Universidad, D. C., Los, D. E., Facultad, A., Ciencias, D. E., De, D., & Biológicas, C. (2005). *IMPORTANCIA DE LA FORMACIÓN DE BIOPELÍCULAS DE Listeria monocytogenes EN LA INDUSTRIA DE ALIMENTOS*.
 14. Djebbi-Simmons, D., Xu, W., Janes, M., & King, J. (2019). Survival and inactivation of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium on food contact surfaces during log, stationary and long-term stationary phases. *Food Microbiology*, 84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103272>
 15. Donlan, R. M., & Costerton, J. W. (2002). Biofilms: Survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 15(2), 167-193. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.2.167-193.2002>
 16. Duc, H. M., Zhang, Y., Son, H. M., Huang, H. H., Masuda, Y., Honjoh, K. ichi, & Miyamoto, T. (2023). Genomic characterization and application of a novel bacteriophage STG2 capable of reducing planktonic and biofilm cells of Salmonella. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 385, 109999. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2022.109999>
 17. EFSA. (2021). Multi-country outbreak of Salmonella Enteritidis sequence type (ST)11 infections linked to poultry products in the EU/EEA and the United Kingdom. *EFSA Supporting Publications*, 18(3), 6486E. <https://doi.org/10.2903/SP.EFSA.2021.EN-6486>
 18. Ge, H., Fu, S., Guo, H., Hu, M., Xu, Z., Zhou, X., Chen, X., & Jiao, X. (2022). Application and challenge of bacteriophage in the food protection. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 380, 109872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2022.109872>
 19. Giaouris, E. E., & Simões, M. V. (2018). Pathogenic Biofilm Formation in the Food Industry and Alternative Control Strategies. *Foodborne Diseases*, 309-377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811444-5.00011-7>
 20. Gil, M. I., Selma, M. V., López-Gálvez, F., & Allende, A. (2009). Fresh-cut product sanitation and wash water disinfection: Problems and solutions. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 134(1-2), 37-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2009.05.021>
 21. Goodburn, C., & Wallace, C. A. (2013). The microbiological efficacy of decontamination methodologies for fresh produce: A review. *Food Control*, 32(2), 418-427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2012.12.012>
 22. Hu, J., Miyanaga, K., & Tanji, Y. (2010). Diffusion properties of bacteriophages through agarose gel membrane. *Biotechnology Progress*, 26(5), 1213-1221. <https://doi.org/10.1002/BTPR.447>
 23. Iñiguez-Moreno, M., Gutiérrez-Lomelí, M., Guerrero-Medina, P. J., & Avila-Novoa, M. G. (2018). Biofilm formation by Staphylococcus aureus and Salmonella spp. under mono and dual-species conditions and their sensitivity to cetrimonium bromide, peracetic acid and sodium hypochlorite. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 49(2), 310-319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2017.08.002>
 24. Joseph, B., Otta, S. K., Karunasagar, I., & Karunasagar, I. (2001). Biofilm formation by Salmonella spp. on food contact surfaces and their sensitivity to sanitizers. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 64(3), 367-372. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(00\)00466-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00466-9)

25. Karaca, B., Akcelik, N., & Akcelik, M. (2015). Effects of P22 bacteriophage on *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium DMC4 strain biofilm formation and eradication. *Archives of Biological Sciences*, 67(4), 1361-1367. <https://doi.org/10.2298/ABS141120114K>
26. Kwon, S. A., Song, W. J., & Kang, D. H. (2018). Comparison of the effect of saturated and superheated steam on the inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Listeria monocytogenes* on cantaloupe and watermelon surfaces. *Food Microbiology*, 72, 157-165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FM.2017.10.012>
27. Lee, H., & Yoon, Y. (2021). Etiological Agents Implicated in Foodborne Illness World Wide. *Food Science of Animal Resources*, 41(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5851/KOSFA.2020.E75>
28. Lequette, Y., Boels, G., Clarisse, M., & Faille, C. (2010). Using enzymes to remove biofilms of bacterial isolates sampled in the food-industry. *Biofouling*, 26(4), 421-431. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927011003699535/SUPPL_FILE/GBIF_A_470475_SM7859.DOC
29. Liu, D., Huang, Q., Gu, W., & Zeng, X. A. (2021). A review of bacterial biofilm control by physical strategies. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1865872>, 62(13), 3453-3470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1865872>
30. Mani-López, E., García, H. S., & López-Malo, A. (2012). Organic acids as antimicrobials to control *Salmonella* in meat and poultry products. *Food Research International*, 45(2), 713-721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2011.04.043>
31. Marvasi, M., Chen, C., Carrazana, M., Durie, I. A., & Teplitski, M. (2014). Systematic analysis of the ability of Nitric Oxide donors to dislodge biofilms formed by *Salmonella enterica* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *AMB Express*, 4(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13568-014-0042-Y/FIGURES/6>
32. Marvasi, M., Durie, I. A., McLamore, E. S., Vanegas, D. C., & Chaturvedi, P. (2015). *Salmonella enterica* biofilm-mediated dispersal by nitric oxide donors in association with cellulose nanocrystal hydrogels. *AMB Express*, 5(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13568-015-0114-7/FIGURES/5>
33. Meireles, A., Borges, A., Giaouris, E., & Simões, M. (2016). The current knowledge on the application of anti-biofilm enzymes in the food industry. *Food Research International*, 86, 140-146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2016.06.006>
34. Meireles, A., Giaouris, E., & Simões, M. (2016). *Alternative disinfection methods to chlorine for use in the fresh-cut industry*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.01.021>
35. Miladi, H., Zmantar, T., Kouidhi, B., Chaabouni, Y., Mahdouani, K., Bakhrouf, A., & Chaieb, K. (2017). Use of carvacrol, thymol, and eugenol for biofilm eradication and resistance modifying susceptibility of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains to nalidixic acid. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 104, 56-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICPATH.2017.01.012>
36. Milho, C., Silva, M. D., Melo, L., Santos, S., Azeredo, J., & Sillankorva, S. (2018). Control of *Salmonella Enteritidis* on food contact surfaces with bacteriophage PVP-SE2. *Biofouling*, 34(7), 753-768. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2018.1501475>
37. MOSQUEDA MENDOZA. (2015). *Item 1006/83* | Repositorio CIAD. <https://ciad.repositorioinstitucional.mx/jspui/handle/1006/83>
38. Moye, Z. D., Woolston, J., & Sulakvelidze, A. (2018). Bacteriophage Applications for Food Production and Processing. *Viruses* 2018, Vol. 10, Page 205, 10(4), 205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/V10040205>

39. Mukhopadhyay, S., Ukuku, D. O., Juneja, V., & Fan, X. (2014). Effects of UV-C treatment on inactivation of *Salmonella enterica* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on grape tomato surface and stem scars, microbial loads, and quality. *Food Control*, *44*, 110-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2014.03.027>
40. Nahar, S., Jeong, H. L., Cho, A. J., Park, J. H., Han, S., Kim, Y., Park, S. H., & Ha, S. Do. (2022). Efficacy of ficin and peroxyacetic acid against *Salmonella enterica* serovar Thompson biofilm on plastic, eggshell, and chicken skin. *Food Microbiology*, *104*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2022.103997>
41. Niu, C., Afre, S., & Gilbert, E. S. (2006). Subinhibitory concentrations of cinnamaldehyde interfere with quorum sensing. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *43*(5), 489-494. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1472-765X.2006.02001.X>
42. Olaimat, A. N., & Holley, R. A. (2012). Factors influencing the microbial safety of fresh produce: A review. *Food Microbiology*, *32*(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FM.2012.04.016>
43. Ölmez, H., & Kretzschmar, U. (2009). Potential alternative disinfection methods for organic fresh-cut industry for minimizing water consumption and environmental impact. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, *42*(3), 686-693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2008.08.001>
44. OMS. (2018). *Salmonella (no tifoidea)*. [https://www.who.int/es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salmonella-\(non-typhoidal\)](https://www.who.int/es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salmonella-(non-typhoidal))
45. Park, S. H., & Kang, D. H. (2014). Inactivation of biofilm cells of foodborne pathogens by steam pasteurization. *European Food Research and Technology*, *238*(3), 471-476. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-013-2121-8>
46. Parra, M., Durango, J., & Máttar, S. (2002). Microbiología, patogénesis, epidemiología, clínica y diagnóstico de las infecciones producidas por salmonella. *Revista MVZ Córdoba*, *7*(2), 187-200.
47. Patrignani, F., Siroli, L., Serrazanetti, D. I., Gardini, F., & Lanciotti, R. (2015). Innovative strategies based on the use of essential oils and their components to improve safety, shelf-life and quality of minimally processed fruits and vegetables. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *46*(2), 311-319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TIFS.2015.03.009>
48. Pineda, M. R., Byrd, J. A., Genovese, K. J., Farnell, Y. Z., Zhao, D., Wang, X., Milby, A. C., & Farnell, M. B. (2021). Evaluation of sodium bisulfate on reducing salmonella heidelberg biofilm and colonization in broiler crops and ceca. *Microorganisms*, *9*(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9102047>
49. Piovezan, M., Uchida, N. S., da Silva, A. F., Grespan, R., Santos, P. R., Silva, E. L., Cuman, R. K. N., Machinski Junior, M., & Mikcha, J. M. G. (2014). Effect of cinnamon essential oil and cinnamaldehyde on *Salmonella* Saintpaul biofilm on a stainless steel surface. *The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology*, *60*(3), 119-121. <https://doi.org/10.2323/JGAM.60.119>
50. Praeger, U., Herppich, W. B., & Hassenberg, K. (2017). Aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment of horticultural produce: Effects on microbial safety and produce quality-A review. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1169157>, *58*(2), 318-333. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1169157>
51. Ramos, B., Miller, F. A., Brandão, T. R. S., Teixeira, P., & Silva, C. L. M. (2013). Fresh fruits and vegetables—An overview on applied methodologies to improve its quality and safety.

- Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 20, 1-15.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IFSET.2013.07.002>
52. Ripolles-Avila, C., Ríos-Castillo, A. G., Fontecha-Umaña, F., & Rodríguez-Jerez, J. J. (2020). Removal of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and Cronobacter sakazakii biofilms from food contact surfaces through enzymatic catalysis. *Journal of Food Safety*, 40(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfs.12755>
53. Schlisselberg, D. B., & Yaron, S. (2013). The effects of stainless steel finish on Salmonella Typhimurium attachment, biofilm formation and sensitivity to chlorine. *Food Microbiology*, 35(1), 65-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FM.2013.02.005>
54. Sevilla-Navarro, S., Catalá-Gregori, P., García, C., Cortés, V., & Marin, C. (2020). Salmonella Infantis and Salmonella Enteritidis specific bacteriophages isolated from poultry faeces as a complementary tool for cleaning and disinfection against Salmonella. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, 68, 101405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CIMID.2019.101405>
55. Sillankorva, S., Pleteneva, E., Shaburova, O., Santos, S., Carvalho, C., Azeredo, J., & Krylov, V. (2010). Salmonella Enteritidis bacteriophage candidates for phage therapy of poultry. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 108(4), 1175-1186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2672.2009.04549.X>
56. Silva, A. F., dos Santos, A. R., Coelho Trevisan, D. A., Ribeiro, A. B., Zanetti Campanerut-Sá, P. A., Kukolj, C., de Souza, E. M., Cardoso, R. F., Estivalet Svidzinski, T. I., de Abreu Filho, B. A., Junior, M. M., & Graton Mikcha, J. M. (2018). Cinnamaldehyde induces changes in the protein profile of Salmonella Typhimurium biofilm. *Research in Microbiology*, 169(1), 33-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESMIC.2017.09.007>
57. Soni, K. A., Oladunjoye, A., Nannapaneni, R., Schilling, M. W., Silva, J. L., Mikel, B., & Bailey, R. H. (2013). Inhibition and Inactivation of Salmonella Typhimurium Biofilms from Polystyrene and Stainless Steel Surfaces by Essential Oils and Phenolic Constituent Carvacrol. *Journal of Food Protection*, 76(2), 205-212. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-196>
58. Srey, S., Jahid, I. K., & Ha, S. Do. (2013). Biofilm formation in food industries: A food safety concern. *Food Control*, 31(2), 572-585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2012.12.001>
59. Szczepanski, S., & Lipski, A. (2014). Essential oils show specific inhibiting effects on bacterial biofilm formation. *Food Control*, 36(1), 224-229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2013.08.023>
60. Trevisan, D. A. C., Da Silva, A. F., Negri, M., De Abreu Filho, B. A., Machinski Junior, M., Patussi, E. V., Campanerut-Sá, P. A. Z., & Mikcha, J. M. G. (2018). Antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of carvacrol against Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 54(1), e17229. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S2175-97902018000117229>
61. Webber, B., Pottker, E. S., Rizzo, N. N., Nuncio, A. S. P., Peixoto, C. S., Mistura, E., dos Santos, L. R., Rodrigues, L. B., & do Nascimento, V. P. (2022). Surface conditioning with bacteriophages reduces biofilm formation of Salmonella Heidelberg. *Food Science and Technology International*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10820132221074783/FORMAT/EPUB>

